

Anytime people see your picture, why they might remember you a lot better than if they read your name in the paper. So I think it is even a more serious thing than we have now when the news reporters get in there and prejudice the jurors at times. The other thing is, as our trial system progresses from the lower courts on up, if you are going to televise those lower courts, I doubt that you would ever find a jury anymore that didn't have some knowledge of what the case was about and I am sure the judges are having a terrific time now trying to find jurors in some instances where a community has been well enlightened as to what has been going on and they know some of the people and it is very hard to get a jury. These are just some of the things that I see as a problem. I think we have trouble enough now with our judicial system. I think you should look at it this way. Would you want the television camera shining on you if you were in a case, being persecuted by a subdivision of government, whether it be county, state or what it might be. I think that you are taking away someone's rights when you do this. I do not believe we should get involved in this situation. If the judges want to do it, let them do it. I know we have had some problems with some of our judges in the western part of the state now that barred the newsmen and were taken to task for it. It is not too easy for a judge to say no, if the Legislature says, well, you can go ahead. Maybe we should be the part that slows the thing down so that they can say, no, without having to stick their neck completely on the line. Thank you.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Mills.

SENATOR MILLS: Question.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right, I tell you, you did it at the right time. There is no one else who wishes to speak.

SENATOR MILLS: Then I will talk.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Barnett, will you close, please.

SENATOR BARNETT: Okay, on the closing, all I would say is this. I have brought the issue to the body. I think it is time we start discussing this issue. I think without a doubt it will be discussed across the country. Bear in mind some of the things that Senator Chambers said and Senator DeCamp, some of the others, was very true but I would use one of the things that Senator Chambers said as one of the biggest arguments for the resolution as I would be opposed to it and that's when you have the judges that react in their own proceedings and they rave and scream and things like this. I think the public ought to see this kind of judge. That would be one of my biggest reasons for wanting the cameras and the radios on them. If we think we have a judicial branch today that behaves like that, then I think it is time that that judicial branch is exposed to the public. I do not know of any judges like that and I do not doubt that what Senator Chambers says was the truth about the ones he had in mind but I am saying I could use that as one of the biggest arguments for this resolution that there is. If we have judges that are treating defendants and witnesses, as Senator Chambers says there is, then I think it is one of the biggest reasons we need to